

**Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852**

**Sustainable investment** means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852, establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not include a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: AGCM Fud - Asia Growth sub-fund  
Legal entity identifier: LEI 52990R9C47SRFK1S844

## Environmental and/or social characteristics

### Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

Yes

It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective**: \_\_\_%

in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy

in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy

It made **sustainable investments with a social objective**: \_\_\_%

No

It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of \_\_\_% of sustainable investments

with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy

with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy

with a social objective

It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**



### To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The sub-fund promoted environmental and social characteristics by investing in companies which make a contribution to one or more of the five goals AGCM has selected from the UN 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development and its 17 Sustainable Development Goals.

The sub-fund has been screened for exclusion of companies engaged in activities with a significant negative impact on society, human health or the environment or non-adherence to international norms, principals or guidelines.

The Investment Manager conducted active ownership by proxy voting of an external ESG advisor.

In the period under review, the share of holdings which complied with binding elements of the sub-fund's investment strategy to promote environmental or social characteristics was 96.4%.

● ***How did the sustainability indicators perform?***

**Promoting investments in companies with environmental or social characteristics**

The contribution to one or more of the UN SDGs of the sub-fund's holdings is shown below. Where an activity may be measurable in terms of revenue, a positive contribution is defined as deriving more than 5% of the turnover from one of the five SDGs prioritized by the sub-fund. Where the activity is generating a substantial positive contribution to a social characteristics, it may not be quantifiable in terms of revenue but may be gauged in terms of engagement in policies and activities which have a positive effect on employee health, safety and development. A positive contribution may also be evidenced by large-scale involvement in societal improvement programs such as inclusive financing in poor rural areas, re-development of shanty towns and cleaning up of the environment, emission reduction and flooding prevention infrastructure or education in digital literacy.

The five prioritized UN Sustainable Development Goals, contribution by investee companies with the comparison to previous reporting period in parenthesis:

No. 3 – Good health and well-being: 13% (18)

No. 8 – Decent work and economic growth: 52% (26)

No. 9 – Industry, innovation and infrastructure: 47% (25)

No. 11 – Sustainable cities and infrastructure: 50% (30)

No. 13- Climate action: 76% (41)

**Negative screening of assets**

Negative screening of investee companies has been performed to ensure that they have met the criteria for non-involvement in harmful activities for human health, society or the environment. All the investee companies are compliant with the value based exclusion critiera.

None of the companies are involved in fossil fuels or controversial weapons. None of the investee companies have been reported to be in violation of UN Global Compact.

● ***...and compared to previous periods?***

The share of investee companies contributing to each of the five Sustainable Development Goals is listed above with a comparison with the previous period reported within parenthesis. The general trend is an increased contribution to the UN Sustainability Goals except for goal no. 3- Good health and well-being which is attributable to the fund mix of holdings.

All of the investee companies complied with the exclusion criteria for the period under review as in the previous reporting period.

None of the companies were in violation of UN Global Compact in for the period under review, as in the previous reporting period.

*The EU Taxonomy sets out a “do not significant harm” principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.*

The “do no significant harm” principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

*Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.*

**Principal adverse impacts** are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and

- **What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?**  
N/A
- **How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?**  
N/A
- **How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?**  
Principal adverse impacts are discussed in the next section.
- **Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:**

The sub-fund was screened by an external advisor for adherence to international norms and the result showed no negative deviances for alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights.



## How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The sub-fund reports on all of the 14 mandatory PAIs and two additional indicators. The Investment Advisor has reviewed the development and alignment of the below Principal Adverse Impacts “PAI” on sustainability factors and the result is published with a comparison with the previous year within parenthesis. The PAIs below have been selected on the basis of available data from external information providers.

The adverse impacts on sustainability factors considered by the Investment Manager were:

- GHG emissions: Scope 1, Scope 2, Scope 3 and Total GHG emissions
- Carbon footprint
- GHG intensity of investee companies
- Exposure of investments in companies active in fossil fuels
- Share of non-renewable energy consumption and production
- Energy consumption intensity per high impact climate sector
- Activities negatively affecting biodiversity-intensive areas
- Emission to water
- Hazardous waste and radioactive waste ratio
- Violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
- Lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises.
- Unadjusted gender pay gap
- Board gender diversity
- Exposure to controversial weapons
- Investments in companies without carbon emission reduction initiatives
- Lack of anti-corruption and anti-bribery policy

The Investment Manager monitors the investee companies' progress in reducing greenhouse gas emissions and it is a part of the consideration in continuing to be

invested. The sub-fund does not invest in companies which are active within fossil fuels. If a company has more than 5% of revenue derived from production of fossil fuels it is basis for exclusion. The investee companies are expected to adhere to the UNGC principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises. Companies active with controversial weapons are excluded.

The majority of investee companies are showing a declining trend in emissions of Greenhouse gases year-over-year. The aggregated values for the individual metrics may nonetheless increase. This is mainly attributable to a change in the sub-fund's composition of holdings. Some industries have higher overall emissions related to their activities as a part of the nature of their business. The mix of direct versus indirect emissions also varies. Any change in the composition of the investee companies will consequently have a direct impact. A consumer goods holding started reporting scope 3 emissions which had a significant negative impact on all GHG related data. There was a negative impact from an investment in a GHG intensive EV batteries manufacturer.

None of the investee companies showed a violation of UNGC principles which is the same as in the previous year. a decrease from the previous year when there was one.

**Table 1 Indicators for Principal Adverse Impacts**

AGCM Fund - Asia Growth Fund- Asia Growth sub-fund, LEI 52990R9C47SRFK1S844, considers Principal Adverse Impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors.

This account of principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors comprise the period 1 January - 31 December 2024 and a comparison with the same period last year.

Indicators for Principle Adverse Impacts	Adverse sustainability indicator	Metric	Year 2022	Year 2023	Year 2024	Change	Coverage of investee companies	Explanation, actions taken, and planned for and targets set for the next reference period
Greenhouse gas emissions	1. GHG emissions	Scope 1 GHG emissions	0.3865 tCO2e	0.3526 tCO2e	<b>0.3507 tCOe</b>	<b>-0.0018 tCOe</b>	100% (100)	we invest in work to reduce green house gas emissions and have a plan to reduce them
		Scope 2 GHG emissions	2.2531 tCO2e	1.6127 tCO2e	<b>1.1248 tCOe</b>	<b>-0.4879 tCOe</b>	100% (100)	
		Scope 3 GHG emissions	2.9450 tCO2e	2.5854 tCO2e	<b>7.1805 tCOe</b>	<b>4.5951 tCOe</b>	100% (100)	A consumer goods holding started reporting scope 3 emissions which had a significant negative impact on all GHG related data.
		Total GHG emissions	5.3336 tCO2e	4.5048 tCO2e	<b>8.6560 tCOe</b>	<b>4.1512 tCOe</b>	100% (100)	Total GHG emissions were negatively affected by an increase in reported scope 3 emissions.
	2. Carbon footprint	Carbon footprint	0.0658 tCO2e/ EUR m invested	0.0754 tCO2e/ EUR m invested	<b>0.1696 tCOe/ EUR m invested</b>	<b>0.0942 tCOe/ EUR m invested</b>	100% (100)	There was a negative impact from a GHG intensive EV batteries manufacturer.
	3. GHG intensity of investee companies	GHG intensity of investee companies	125.1801 tCO2e/ EUR m revenue	141.3280 tCOe/ EUR m revenue	<b>330.8268 tCOe/ EUR m revenue</b>	<b>189.4988 tCOe/ EUR m revenue</b>	100% (100)	In addition, there was a negative impact from a GHG intensive EV batteries holding.
	4. Share of investments in companies active with fossil fuel sector		0%	0%		<b>0%</b>	<b>unchanged</b>	100% (100) We don't invest in companies active within fossil fuels. If a company has >5% of revenue or production from fossil fuels it
	5. Share of non-renewable energy consumption and production	Share of non-renewable consumption and production of investee companies from non-renewable energy sources		74.88%	<b>45.83%</b>	<b>-29.0%</b>	89%(79)	
	6. Energy consumption intensity per high impact climate sector.	Energy consumption in GWh/EUR m of revenue in investee companies per high impact climate		17.0100	<b>12.4596</b>	<b>-4.5 GWh/EUR m of revenue</b>	93%(86)	
Pollution	7. Activities negatively affecting bio-diversity sensitive areas.	Share of investments in investee companies with sites/operations located in or near to biodiversity		n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0%	
	8. Emission to water	Tonnes of emissions to water generated by investee companies per million of EUR invested,		0.0003	<b>0.0003</b>	<b>0%</b>	20%(13)	
	9. Hazardous waste and radioactive waste ratio.			0.1776	<b>-0.1070</b>	<b>-0.0706</b>	72%(50)	
Social and employee matters	10. Violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	Share of investees which have been involved in violations of the UNGC principles of OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	2.0%	0.0%	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>unchanged</b>	100% (100)	We expect that all companies we invest in adhere to the UNGC principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises.
	11. Lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises.	Share of investments in investee companies without policies to monitor compliance with the UNGC principles of OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises of grievance/complaints		11,1%	<b>12.8%</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	96%(87)	
	12. Unadjusted gender pay gap.	Average unadjusted gender pay gap of investee companies.		23.7%	<b>17.3%</b>	<b>-6.44%</b>	18%(14)	
	13. Board gender diversity	Average ratio of female to male board members in investee companies, expressed as a percentage		17.3%	<b>19.1%</b>	<b>1.79%</b>	97%(96)	
	14. Exposure to controversial weapons anti-personal mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons)	Share of investments in investee companies involved in the manufacture or selling of controversial weapons	0.0%	0.0%	<b>0%</b>	<b>unchanged</b>	100% (100)	Companies active with controversial weapons are excluded.
	15. Investments in companies without carbon emission reduction initiatives	Share of companies without carbon emission reduction alternatives.		19.0%	<b>28.1%</b>	<b>9.1%</b>	72%(81)	The increase is due to some holdings which published initiatives in the previous year but have not yet done it for 2024.
	16. Lack of anti-corruption and anti-bribery policy	Share of investee companies without anti-bribery policy.		20.7%	<b>25.5%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	74%(79)	The increase is due to some holdings which published policies in the previous year but have not yet done it for 2024.



## What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product during the reference period which is: 2024/25.

AGCM-Asia Growth Fund Year 2024				
Alibaba Group	7,85%	Consumer	China	
Tencent Holdings	6,30%	Consumer	China	
JD.com	6,07%	Consumer	China	
Haier Smart Home	4,92%	Consumer	China	
Trip.com	4,50%	Consumer	China	
Samsung Electronics	3,82%	Info tech	S. Korea	
Industrial & Com Bank of China	3,67%	Financials	China	
China Resources Land	3,62%	Real estate	China	
Baidu Inc	3,24%	Consumer	China	
China Construction Bank	3,22%	Financials	China	
DBS Group	3,40%	Financials	Singapore	
Bank Mandiri	2,75%	Financials	Indonesia	
United Overseas Bank	2,66%	Financials	Singapore	
CSPC Pharmaceutical Group	2,46%	Healthcare	China	
China Overseas Land	2,18%	Real estate	China	
<b>60,66%</b>				



## What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

N/A


### What was the asset allocation?

For the period under review, 96.4% of the holdings were #1 Aligned with E/S characteristics and 3.6% were #2 Other holdings. All holdings (100%) aligned with E/S characteristics were #1B Other E/S characteristics.

To comply with the EU Taxonomy, the criteria for **fossil gas** include limitations on emissions and switching to fully renewable power or low-carbon fuels by the end of 2035. For **nuclear energy**, the criteria include comprehensive safety and waste management rules.

**Enabling activities** directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.

**Transitional activities** are activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and among others have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.



● ***In which economic sectors were the investments made?***



The investments were made in companies active in consumer goods and services, financials including insurance, communication, real estate, info tech and healthcare. The investments contributed to one or more of the UN Sustainable Development Goals. There were no investments in companies involved in extraction, production or distribution of fossil fuels.

**Asia Growth Fund (As of end of September 2025)**

<b>Communication Services</b>	<b>19,32%</b>
Diversified Telecommunication	3,62%
Entertainment	2,16%
Interactive Media & Services	10,35%
Wireless Telecommunication Ser	3,19%
<b>Consumer Discretionary</b>	<b>33,08%</b>
Automobiles	3,99%
Broadline Retail	16,68%
Hotels, Restaurants & Leisure	4,39%
Household Durables	7,97%
Leisure Products	0,05%
<b>Financials</b>	<b>23,66%</b>
Banks	15,46%
Capital Markets	1,69%
Insurance	6,51%
<b>Health Care</b>	<b>3,81%</b>
Health Care Providers & Servic	0,67%
Pharmaceuticals	3,14%
<b>Industrials</b>	<b>3,87%</b>
Aerospace & Defense	0,26%
Electrical Equipment	3,61%
<b>Information Technology</b>	<b>5,96%</b>
IT Services	1,61%
Technology Hardware, Storage &	4,35%
<b>Real Estate</b>	<b>5,71%</b>
Real Estate Management & Devel	5,71%

[include note for the financial products referred to in Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:

- **turnover** reflecting the share of revenue from green activities of investee companies.
- **capital expenditure** (CapEx) showing the green investments made by investee companies, e.g. for a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (OpEx) reflecting green operational

 are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that do not take into account the criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

## To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

N/A


### Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy<sup>1</sup>?

Yes:

In fossil gas  In nuclear energy

No

**The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds\*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.**



\* For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures.

### What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

N/A

<sup>1</sup> Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

- **How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?**

N/A



- What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?**

N/A



- What was the share of socially sustainable investments?**

N/A



- What investments were included under “other”, what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?**

Other investments consisted of cash 3,6%.



- What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?**

The Investment Manager has screened all investee companies inhouse for E/S characteristics as well as periodically through an ESG consultant for adherence to international norms as well as value-based screening for compliance with limits for exclusion criterias. The sub-fund makes use of proxy voting through an external ESG consultant and has voted on all issues during the year.



- How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?**

N/A

**Reference benchmarks** are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

- ***How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?***

N/A

- ***How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?***

N/A

- ***How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?***

N/A

- ***How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?***

N/A